An Appreciation, a Plea, and a Clarion Call

AN Editor, according to Ambrose Bierce, is "a severely virtuous censor, but so charitable withal that he tolerates the virtues of others and the vices of himself; who flings about him the splintering lightning and sturdy thunders of admonition till he resembles a bunch of firecrackers petulantly uttering its mind at the tail of a dog....Master of mysteries and Lord of Law, high-pinnacled upon the throne of thought, his face suffused with the dim splendors of the Transfiguration, his legs intertwisted and his tongue a-cheek, the Editor spills his will along the paper and cuts it off in length to suit"

Elsewhere in this issue, there appears a detailed description of the philosophy, method, and criteria under which a paper submitted to the archive journals of the AIAA is appraised. The system remains, however—fortunately or unfortunately—very much touched by human, and therefore fallible and prejudiced, minds and hands. This is Volume 3, Number 1, and it is dated January 1965, a customary time for introductions, appraisal, review, and resolutions. It thus seems appropriate to recognize our associates, indicate changes, and review some problems.

We also wish to express the deep appreciation of the Institute and the professional community to our retiring Editors Irvin Glassman, Walter G. Berl, and Alfred M. Freudenthal. Having given freely and loyally of their time and substance for many years, they have earned our gratitude and Emeritus status. May they prosper, regain their families and friends, and write good original papers and reviews. We are sorely missing—for a school year—Holt Ashley, on leave as a Visiting Professor at the Indian Institute of Technology in India, and Winston Markey, on leave as Chief Scientist of the United States Air Force. We are honored by their call to high office but look forward to their return.

We note, with a little sadness and regret, the departure of Martin Summerfield as Vice President—Publications. In that capacity he has served as our mentor, teacher, conscience, and talented adversary. But "old Editors never die . . ," and so we welcome him as a colleague and wish him success as Editor-in-Chief of Astronautica Acta.

It is again a great pleasure to recognize and thank our loyal and hard-working reviewers. The judicial function of conscientious and timely manuscript review remains a vital part of professional life; the dialogue initiated by constructive criticism, detailed suggestions, and comments assures our community of the vital "control of quality" in the field and the author of recognition by his colleagues and peers. In the name of the authors and the professional community, and as individuals, may we again express our sincere appreciation to our colleagues, listed on the following page, who have read and reviewed the papers published in Volume 2. This Journal could not exist without their cooperation.

We should finally like to pay tribute to our Managing Editor—Scientific Publications, Ruth Bryans. The editorial procedures referred to above and described elsewhere in this issue are administered and enforced by her. The Editors, now scattered from coast-to-coast, as is appropriate for a Society of the stature, scope, and membership of the AIAA, rely on her

to implement their decisions and generally to make things go. Authors will find it to their advantage to address their manuscripts and correspondence through her to the attention of the Editors, as the procedure suggests.

Turning now to problems, we view with alarm:

1. The Issue of "Obscurantism." The following is quoted from an editorial by S. A. Goudsmit, in *Physical Review Letters*, Volume 13, pp. 519–520, October 1964:

Referees and editors often complain about the obscure style of the majority of Letters and Articles. In addition to using unintelligible, twisted sentences, many authors create and use slang expressions known to a few specialists only, and indulge in unnecessary abbreviations. Such practices may help the writer but they slow down the reader considerably and exclude the uninitiated completely. In fact, many papers give the impression that the author was writing a memorandum to himself or merely for the benefit of a close collaborator. Yet when we ask authors to write their papers so that a few more colleagues can appreciate their significance, some of them rebut that popular articles do not belong in our journal.

We are convinced that an Article or even a short Letter can be written in a style that helps the interested physicist to understand its aim even if he is not a specialist. One of the causes of bad writing is that so many young research physicists lack teaching experience. They have never faced the challenge of explaining something they know very well to a student who knows nothing about it.

But there is still another reason for writing an obscure paper. It is the common subconscious fear of exposing oneself to scrutiny. If a paper is too clear, it might be too easy for readers to see through it and discover its weaknesses. We observe this same behavior with the lecturer who writes a formula on the blackboard and erases it almost immediately. We see it with speakers who address the blackboard instead of the audience and who keep the room dark between slides. They themselves do not realize that they are subconsciously afraid of being clearly understood.

Thus, we believe that writing incomprehensible papers is not an indication of the author's erudition but merely reveals a common psychological defect. We hope that this insight will induce a few more of our authors to come out from behind their screen of specialized terms and machine-inspired sentence construction.

Even with the modifications induced by the Editors, the phenomenon has been apparent occasionally on our own pages. The situation is doubly serious for AIAA Journal, serving, as it does, an Engineering Society as a medium for the exchange of ideas. May we suggest to authors that the object of publication is to enlighten a technically highly literate audience on its field of expertise, so that appropriate reduction to useful practice can proceed.

2. Technical Notes: The concept of Technical Notes was formulated both as an outlet for short manuscripts and also to afford a relatively quick mechanism for exposure of new developments in cases where prompt disclosure is desirable. Only cursory review is applied in the latter case since more complete manuscripts are expected upon completion of the work. In recent months, there are some disturbing trends.

We see Notes which are basically correct but essentially routine extensions of existing work; more seriously, however, Notes, frequently sequential, covering various aspects of a problem, the whole of which should be covered in *one* paper. May we recommend to authors that they appraise the status of work in progress against the appropriate timing and scope of disclosure so that the several means of communication can continue to fulfill their function properly.

Since its inception two years ago, the Journal has gone through major changes in scope, philosophy, and format. During this period, the Editors have had the benefit of counsel and advice from their peers in the technical community and the AIAA. Communications from the authors of rejected manuscripts also have been to the point—albeit narrow in concept. The Editors would welcome comments from perhaps

the most important section of the aerospace community—that dedicated to the future. Where are the wild and creative young (at least in spirit) men who are now working on the frontiers of aerospace technology, too busy perhaps (perish the thought) to attend Institute meetings and to write papers or letters to the Editor? What are the great technical issues of the day and the future as *they* see them? The Editors and the Institute would most earnestly welcome not only manuscripts but other communications from this group and will give them most careful consideration.

Finally, may we again share with the authors the intellectual stimulation and pleasure we derive from our role in contributing to the exposure of their creative ideas.

Leo Steg Editor-in-Chie

Paul V. Marrone

Reviewers for AIAA Journal, 1964

Mandio Abele H. Norman Abramson Malcolm J. Abzug Robert Ackerberg Mac C. Adams Thomas C. Adamson Vito Agosta Wesley Alexander J. Allport B. O. Almroth Louis J. Alpinieri David Altman Kurt Ambross R. A. Anderson R. C. Anderson W. E. Anderson Maurice L. Anthony Robert Archer W. I. Arford Harry I. Ashkenas J. D. Auerbach E. H. Avrett Charles A. Barth M. Barton Donald R. Bartz Samuel B. Batdorf R. H. Battin Eric Baum Richard E. Beckwith T. Brooke Benjamin Stewart Bennett Ira Bernstein D. Bershader John Berube R. Betchov P. G. Bhuta Frederick S. Billig Vernon Blackman Bernard Blood Martin H. Bloom F. G. Blottner S. R. Bodner Seymour M. Bogdonoff John M. Bozajian H. S. Braham J. J. Brainerd Kenneth Bray John V. Breakwell George D. Brewer John J. Brisbane T. Brogan Don O. Brush Carl H. Builder O. Buneman Stanley R. Byron Morton Camao Gordon Cann Robert H. Cannon Jr. R. Cantrell J. O. Cappellari Dean R. Chapman Andrew Charwat J. Howard Childs

K. P. Chopra Boa-Teh Chu C. K. Chu Paul M. Chung Thomas Coakley I. M. Coben Gerald A. Cohen Julian Cole D. Coles A. O. Converse Henry F. Cooper Ralph Cooper Stanley Corrsin K. L. Coulson E. E. Covert Stephen H. Crandall R. J. Cresci C. Crowe Herbert J. Cunningham H. D. Curchak . Lee Dailey J. M. A. Danby Sidney Darlington P. C. T. de Baer Daniel B. DeBra Robert G. Deissler Walter F. Denham M. Richard Denison Joseph Denny Paul Dergarabedian S. de Soto Ralph Deutsch Thomas J. Devlin J. Pieter de Vries C. F. Dewey David P. DeWitt Lionel A. Dickinson R. C. Diprima William H. Dorrance Norris F. Dow Earl Dowell Stuart E. Dreyfus David Dropkin D. C. Drucker Maurice Dubin John Dugundji Hermann M. Dusek Pol E. Duwez J. Eckermann E. R. G. Eckert T. N. Edelbaum Raymond Edelman Richard H. Edwards John M. Eggleston A. S. Elder William R. Ellis Howard Emmons J. C. Erickson Jr. Alan Q. Eschenroeder S. Eskinazi Bernard Etkin James A. Fav Antonio Ferr

David Fischel

Robert E. Fischell W. Flugge I. Flugge-Lotz Y. F. Fong Stuart G. Forbes A. T. Forrester Kevin Forsberg François N. Frenkiel H. A. Friedman M. H. Friedman Raymond Friedman Otto P. Fuchs Allen E. Fuhs Y. C. Fung G. D. Galletly Boris Garfinkel I. E. Garrick S. Georgiev Edward Gerjouy R. W. Getzinger Edward G. Gibson I. I. Glass Per Gloersen Frank W. Gobetz Harris Gold Terrance Gooding F. O. Goodman J. M. Gormally Robert Gottlieb R. Goulard M. C. Gourdine Harold Grad Dunstan Graham Robert Greif Jerry Grey Robert A. Gross William Guman Daril Hahn Robert L. Halfman J. Gordon Hall Francis R. Hama Andrew G. Hammitt G. H. Handelman Frederick Hansen H. Hardrath Leonard A. Harris David T. Harrje R. W. Hart R. W. Hart
Richard A. Hartunian
Max A. Heaslet
J. M. Hedgepeth
Richard R. Heldenfels C. D. Hendricks Paul Herget George Herrmann Walter Herrmann Abraham Hertzberg Robert V. Hess M. R. Hestenes Harry Hilton E. Hinnov J. Hirschberg P. Hodge

Rudolf Hoelker

Henry H. Hoercher N. J. Hoff Maurice Holt J. M. Holtzman W. J. Hooker M. D. Horton G. Horvay John C. Houbolt J. M. Houston John Hovorka J. M. Howard J. T. Howe M. L. Hromas P. Hrycak J. Humphreys F. C. Hung F. C. Hurlbut Ian R. Hurle Henryk Hurwicz Henry Hurwitz Jr. Otto K. Husmann Ronald L. Huston John Hutchinson Robert E. Hutton Robert D. Ingebo C. Y. Inn M. Inouye Imre Izsak L. G. Jacchia W. D. Jackson G. Sargent Janes Andrew H. Jazwinski Richard R. John Francis S. Johnson M. W. Johnson W. S. Johnson Robert E. Jones R. T. Jones Peter D. Joseph A. Kalnins R. R. Kane Hans K. Karrenberg Sidney W. Kash Richard J. Katucki Harold Kaufman Myron Kayton J. C. Keck Henry J. Kelley J. M. Kelly Nelson H. Kemp Joseph Kempner H. Kennet Edward F. Keon J. L. Kerrebrock J. Kestin Wallace D. Kilpatrick Harry King J. I. F. King R. King Otto E. Kirchner A. Kistler Bennet Kivel Philip S. Klebanoff

G. Kleinstein

J. M. Klosner J. M. Knowles Alan Kolb Lester Kraus Frank Krieth Donald M. Kuehn G. Kuerti Leo J. Kulakowski Russell M. Kulsrud G. Kuskevics Herman LaGow E. V. Laitone S. H. Lam Frank Lane H. A. Lang W. Lansing Tibor Lazlow B. M. Leadon E. H. Lee L. H. N. Lee Lester Lees George Leitmann Peter Lenn R. W. Leonard Eugene Levin Robert S. Levine Richard H. Levy Henry Lew Clark H. Lewis Ting Y. Li George Lianis Richard L. Liboff C. Libove Wilbert J. Lick Harold Liebowitz Hwachii Lien H. P. Liepman Hans Liepmann Hans Lieske Peter W. Likins Shao-Chi Lin Kenneth Lobb Lawrence K. Loftin Jr. Howard S. London Julius London John A. Lordi Jack Lorell Ralph H. Lovberg A. G. Lubowe A. Lucy J. Lukasiewicz Paul S. Lykoudis Frederick A. Lyman W. C. Lyons Jr. G. J. F. MacDonald Andrej Macek Leslie M. Mack R. A. Makofski C. J. Maiden L. R. Manoni Frank E. Marble Jess Marcum James D. Marketos G. H. Markstein

Stephen H. Maslen J. Mayers Robert F. Mayo Robert Mazet K. P. McCarty F. McClintoch F. T. McClure James E. McCune George H. McLafferty Robert Meghreblian C. R. Mehl W. G. Melbourne Robert J. Melosh J. Menkes Arthur F. Messiter Arthur B. Metzner R. E. Meyer Russell G. Meyerand Roland E. Meyerott Charles Michels H. S. Mickley Angelo Miele R. D. Mindlin Harold Mirels Eric Mollo-Christensen Franklin K. Moore Gino Moretti Raymond Morth V. O. Mowery C. H. Murphy G. H. Myers Sam Nablo William Nachbar Henry T. Nagamatsu Klaus K. Newmann Robert R. Newton J. D. Nicolaides William Nordberg Carl Norgren J. A. Norton T. F. O'Brien Jr. Daniel Olfe D. Ordway S. Ostrach S. I. Pai Bernard Paiewonsky Adrian Pallone C. Papas Paul Paslay Sharad A. Patel Richard Patrick B. E. Paul R. G. Payton Carl Pearson S. S. Penner Paul A. Penzo J. Petersen Harry Petschek Carl Pfeiffer A. V. Phelps Theodore H. H. Pian Samuel Pines